

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO.          | FIL     | ING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|-------------------------|---------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/717,017 11/19/2003   |         |            | Gary Dean Ranger     |                         | 6721                    |  |
| 33237                   | 7590    | 08/16/2006 |                      | EXAM                    | EXAMINER                |  |
| GARY DE                 | AN RAGI | VER        | TILL, TERRENCE R     |                         |                         |  |
| 711 SW 75TH ST.<br>#103 |         |            |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
| GAINSVILLE, FL 32607    |         |            |                      | 1744                    |                         |  |
|                         |         |            |                      | DATE MAILED: 08/16/2006 | DATE MAILED: 08/16/2006 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  | _ |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Commons  | 10/717,017  | RANGER ET AL.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Terrence R. Till  | 1744  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | L. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -·<br>action is non-final.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan  |   | secution as to the merits is  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ,—   | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ,  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  | Triffic Consideration.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-13</u> is/are rejected.  | _   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to.  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  | :   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 November 2003 is/ar  | re: a)⊡ accepted or b)⊠ objecte   | ed to by the Examiner.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the o  | frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See   | 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti  | on is required if the drawing(s) is obj   | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | aminer. Note the attached Office  | Action or form PTO-152.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:   | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)   | -(d) or (f).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>   | have been received.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>   | have been received in Application   | on No   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>  | ity documents have been receive   | d in this National Stage  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau  | (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of  | of the certified copies not receive   | d.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| •  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   | <i>.</i>  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary  | (PTO-413)   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa  | te<br>atent Application (PTO-152)   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/03, 12/03</u> .  | 6) Other:   | Aon (ΓΗΟ-102)   |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/717,017 Page 2

Art Unit: 1744

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

- 1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the friction wheel (claim 4), the valve means mounted on a linear slide (claims 5 and 11) and the cleaning strip comprising a bristle strip (claim 9) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.
- 2. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,017 Page 3

Art Unit: 1744

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 6-8 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kindel (US 928,982).
- 5. See Page 1, lines 15-20, 55-65 and 74-85. See also figures 4 and 5.
- 6. Claims 7-10, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Japanese patent to Yamashita (US 5,440,782).
- 7. See column 4, lines 20-45 and figures 5 and 6.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,017

Art Unit: 1744

9. Claims 5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kindel (US 928,982) in view of Japanese patent to Okada (JP 7-327878).

Page 4

10. Kindel discloses vacuum cleaner nozzle for use on a surface to be cleaned, comprising: a) a nozzle body 2 adapted for accepting suction air from a vacuum cleaner; b) a felt cleaning strip 10 adapted for sliding contact with a surface being cleaned; c) wherein said nozzle body defines an air channel with a front-side air channel and a back-side air channel (see figures 4 and 5), wherein said front-side air channel is in-front of said cleaning strip and said back-side air channel is behind said cleaning strip, wherein the air channels direct the suction air to said frontside and said rear-side; d) a valve means defined by said cleaning strip and said nozzle body for substantially closing-off suction air to said front-side air channel when a user is moving the nozzle backward along the surface being cleaned and substantially closing-off suction air to said back-side air channel when the user is moving the nozzle forward along the surface being cleaned, whereby said suction air is diverted substantially to the side of the cleaning strip facing the direction of motion of the vacuum cleaner nozzle along the surface being cleaned (See Page 1, lines 15-20, 55-65 and 74-85). Kindel does not disclose said cleaning strip is linearly mounted to said nozzle body within said air channel, wherein the cleaning strip can move substantially linearly forward and backward within the air channel to provide said valve means. The Japanese patent to Okada discloses a nozzle head 1, having a cleaning strip 3,4 mounted in the nozzle, via rollers 2, that moves substantially linearly forward and backward within the air channel to provide said valve means (see "Constitution" on first page). Therefore, since both of these valve mechanisms were known at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a

Application/Control Number: 10/717,017

Art Unit: 1744

person skilled in the art to substitute the pivoting action of the valve of Kindel with a linear action in view of the teaching of Okada.

## Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claim4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Hope, Reed, Thompson, Kelnhofer, Congdon, Sassano, Berfield, Wood and European patent to Jacob et al. and PCT publication to Allard-Latour et al. show cleaning devices the operate identically as applicant's does.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terrence R. Till whose telephone number is (571) 272-1280. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. through Thurs. and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys P. Corcoran can be reached on (571) 272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,017 Page 6

Art Unit: 1744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Terrence R. Till Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744